



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,140	10/31/2003	James D. Peterson	020425-105900US	2938
20350 7590 06/23/2008 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				
EXAMINER WONG, ERIC TAI WAI				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3693				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/23/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/698,140

**Applicant(s)**

PETERSON ET AL.

**Examiner**

ERIC T. WONG

**Art Unit**

3693

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ERIC T. WONG.(3) PATRICK JEWIK.(2) JAMES KRAMER.(4) ALEX TOUMA.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2 and 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ray et al., Reese, Masand et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102 was discussed. The combination of Ray et al. and Masand et al. was discussed in regard to the rejection of claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. 103. The combination of Reese and Ray et al. was discussed in regard to the rejection of claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 103.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/ERIC T. WONG/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3693

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required